



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/729,096

12/05/2003

Anders M. E. Samuelsson

MS1-1696US

8822

22801 7590 01/29/2009

LEE & HAYES, PLLC
601 W. RIVERSIDE AVENUE
SUITE 1400
SPOKANE, WA 99201

EXAMINER

KAPLAN, BENJAMIN A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2439

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/29/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/729,096 | Applicant(s) SAMUELSSON ET AL. | |
| | Examiner BENJAMIN A. KAPLAN | Art Unit 2439 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BENJAMIN A. KAPLAN PTO Personnel. (3) MICHAEL D. CARTER Applicant's Representative.

(2) KAMBIZ ZAND SPE PTO Personnel. (4) .

Date of Interview: 26 January 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: .

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 14, 22 and 28.

Identification of prior art discussed: Pantuso.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed amendments for advancing prosecution were discussed. The discussed amendments and arguments would overcome the present rejection and would require further search and/or consideration. Applicant's response will be considered when formerly filed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

| | |
|--|---|
| /Benjamin A Kaplan/ Examiner, Art Unit 2439 | /Kambiz Zand/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2434 |
|--|---|